EduInspire - An International E-Journal **ISSN 2349-7076**
An International Peer Reviewed and Refereed Journal
Council for Teacher Education Foundation, (CTEF, Gujarat Chapter)
www.ctegujarat.org
ISSN 2349-7076

EduInspire

- An International Peer Reviewed and Refereed Journal

Vol: XII
Issue: II
JUNE-2025

Council for Teacher Education Foundation,

(CTEF, Gujarat Chapter)

E d u I n s p i r e : An International E-Journal ISSN 2349-7076
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal
Council for Teacher Education Foundation (CTEF, Gujarat Chapter)
www.ctegujarat.org

Revolutionizing Education: Technology Integration in Indian Classrooms Insights from a Pilot Study in Surat, Gujarat

Ms. Pratibha Gupta

Research Scholar, CASE, Department of Education, Faculty of Education and Psychology,
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara.

Prof. R. C. Patel

Vice Chancellor, IITE Gandhinagar

Abstract

Technology integration in Indian classrooms has accelerated in recent years, driven by national initiatives such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and digital platforms like DIKSHA. This seminar paper explores the impact of educational technology in secondary schools in Surat, Gujarat, based on a pilot survey conducted across five institutions. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study combines quantitative data from teacher and student surveys with qualitative observations of classroom practices. Findings reveal that digital tools—such as smart boards, e-learning platforms, blended learning environments, gamified content, and emerging applications of virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR) and artificial intelligence (AI)—enhance student engagement, improve comprehension, and support interactive learning. However, challenges persist, including infrastructure gaps, inconsistent internet access, and limited teacher preparedness. The paper recommends context-sensitive, low-cost digital solutions, expanded teacher training programs, and stronger collaborations between public and private stakeholders to ensure equitable and effective technology adoption in Surat's secondary education landscape.

Keywords: ***Technology integration, Digital pedagogy, Surat schools, Student engagement, Blended learning, NEP 2020***

Introduction

Education in the 21st century is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by rapid technological advancements and the global shift toward digital literacy, personalized learning, and competency-based education. In India, this evolution is reflected in national initiatives such as *Digital India*, *PM e-Vidya*, and the *National Education Policy (NEP) 2020*, which collectively aim to embed digital tools into the fabric of school education (Digital India, 2025; PM e-Vidya, 2025).

The NEP 2020 marks a paradigm shift by recognizing educational technology as a catalyst for inclusive, equitable, and high-quality learning. It promotes the use of digital platforms like DIKSHA, SWAYAM, and Swayam Prabha to deliver multilingual, multimodal content across diverse learner profiles (Ministry of Education, 2020). These reforms envision a future where technology is not supplementary but central to teaching–learning processes.

Despite these efforts, the integration of technology in Indian classrooms remains uneven. Urban schools often benefit from smartboards, tablets, and high-speed internet, while many rural and semi-urban institutions struggle with basic infrastructure, unreliable connectivity, and limited access to digital resources (Journalism University, 2023). Teacher preparedness and confidence in using educational technology also vary widely, affecting the consistency and effectiveness of implementation (Malaviya, 2023).

In this context, Surat—a rapidly developing urban centre in Gujarat—offers a compelling case for examining how technology integration is reshaping secondary education. The city's school ecosystem includes both well-resourced private institutions and government schools navigating infrastructural and pedagogical challenges. This seminar paper presents insights from a pilot study conducted across five secondary schools in Surat, exploring how digital tools are being adopted, adapted, and experienced by teachers and students.

Globally, educational technology encompasses a wide array of tools and methodologies, including e-learning platforms, blended learning models, gamification, virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and artificial intelligence (AI)-driven adaptive learning systems. When effectively integrated, these innovations can make learning more interactive, engaging, and personalized

(India Today, 2025). For students, they offer opportunities to learn at their own pace, access diverse content, and develop digital competencies. For teachers, they enable differentiated instruction, data-informed assessment, and creative pedagogical approaches.

The pilot study in Surat reveals that technology-enhanced classrooms foster improved comprehension, greater student engagement, and more dynamic instructional strategies. However, challenges persist. Infrastructure gaps, inconsistent internet access, and limited teacher training continue to hinder the full potential of digital integration. Additionally, socio-cultural factors such as language barriers, curriculum alignment, and resistance to change affect the adoption and effectiveness of these tools (LEAD Group, 2025).

This seminar paper aims to bridge the gap between national policy aspirations and classroom realities by critically examining the methodologies, benefits, and barriers of technology integration in Indian secondary schools. By synthesizing empirical data from Surat with broader educational trends, the study identifies scalable, low-cost, and contextually relevant strategies that can support both urban and underserved schools. The ultimate objective is to contribute to the discourse on making technology integration equitable, sustainable, and impactful across India's diverse educational landscape.

Objectives of the Study

- To examine key methodologies and techniques — including smart classrooms, e-learning, blended learning, gamification, VR/AR, and AI — used for technology integration in secondary schools in Surat.
- To evaluate the influence of these methodologies on student engagement, personalized learning, and teacher effectiveness.
- To investigate infrastructural, pedagogical, and socio-economic barriers that hinder effective and equitable technology adoption in Surat's school ecosystem.
- To develop evidence-based, low-cost, and context-sensitive recommendations for scalable technology integration that bridges the gap between policy aspirations and classroom realities.

Research Questions

1. What methodologies and technological tools are currently being implemented in Surat's secondary classrooms, and how

are they integrated into teaching–learning practices?

2. How do these approaches affect student engagement, learning outcomes, and teacher instructional capacity?
3. What infrastructural, socio-economic, and pedagogical challenges limit the scalability and equity of technology adoption in Surat's schools?
4. How can policy frameworks, teacher professional development, and public–private partnerships be leveraged to close the gap between national policy goals and local implementation?

Review of Related Literature

The integration of technology into education has emerged as a global imperative, reshaping pedagogical practices and redefining learning environments. In India, this transformation is driven by national policy reforms, digital infrastructure initiatives, and the growing demand for 21st-century skills. The *National Education Policy (NEP) 2020* articulates a vision for technology-enabled education, emphasizing platforms such as DIKSHA, SWAYAM, and PM eVidya to deliver inclusive, multilingual, and curriculum-aligned content (Kishore & Kumar, 2024). This literature review synthesizes national and regional policy frameworks, pedagogical models, and empirical studies to contextualize the pilot study conducted in Surat, Gujarat.

1. Policy and Institutional Frameworks

India's digital education landscape is shaped by ambitious national programs. The Digital India initiative laid the foundation for broadband connectivity, digital literacy, and e-governance tools across sectors, including education (Digital India, 2025). During the COVID-19 pandemic, PM eVidya emerged as a unified platform for remote learning, accelerating the adoption of online tools and content delivery systems (PM eVidya, 2025).

Kishore and Kumar (2024) examined the NEP 2020's directives and found that while the policy promotes inclusive and adaptive learning technologies, implementation challenges persist due to infrastructural disparities and limited teacher readiness. Verma, Dash, and Purohit (2025) conducted a mixed-methods study on DIKSHA's usage among rural teachers and students. Their findings revealed that although DIKSHA expanded its reach, device shortages, poor connectivity, and gaps in digital pedagogy constrained its effective use. Recommendations included offline access,

localized content, and targeted capacity-building programs.

Journalism University (2023), at the state level, Gujarat has implemented ICT labs and smart classroom programs in government schools. It noted significant disparities in implementation across districts, with urban centers like Surat demonstrating higher adoption rates due to better infrastructure and administrative support. Karmakar (2022) emphasized the role of public–private partnerships (PPPs) in bridging resource gaps, particularly in semi-urban and rural schools. His review highlighted how PPPs can facilitate infrastructure development, teacher training, and content localization.

2. Pedagogical Models and Frameworks

Arora and Chander (2023) studied Effective technology integration require pedagogical alignment. They reviewed models such as TPACK and SAMR, arguing that teachers must develop competencies across technological, pedagogical, and content domains. Their study emphasized the need for contextualized training and curriculum mapping, especially in multilingual and resource-constrained environments.

Malaviya (2023) supported this view, reporting that structured digital pedagogy training enhances teacher confidence, creativity, and instructional flexibility. However, resistance to change and lack of ongoing support remain barriers to sustained adoption. Chandan and Parul (2022) highlighted challenges such as misalignment between digital content and curricular standards, especially in regional languages, and stressed the importance of culturally responsive content and professional development.

Badshah et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review of IoT, AI, and 5G in education. Globally, pedagogical innovations such as blended learning, gamification, and AI-driven adaptive systems have shown promise. It concluded that these technologies can support smart pedagogy, real-time assessment, and personalized learning. Yet, they also identified barriers including technical limitations, privacy concerns, and social resistance—issues that resonate with the Indian context.

3. Benefits and Challenges in Practice

Empirical studies consistently affirm the benefits of technology integration. India Today (2025) reported that smart classrooms and blended learning environments improve student engagement, comprehension, and

retention. Tools such as smartboards, tablets, and learning management systems (LMS) enable multimedia instruction, real-time feedback, and differentiated learning pathways.

The LEAD Group (2025) found that gamified content and AI-based platforms enhance motivation and support personalized learning. However, infrastructure gaps—such as unreliable electricity, poor internet connectivity, and lack of devices—remain prevalent in semi-urban and rural schools. Malaviya (2023) noted that many teachers lack formal training and express apprehension about digital tools, which affects adoption and sustainability.

Socio-cultural factors also influence technology integration. Content that is not aligned with local languages or curricular standards may fail to engage students meaningfully. Resistance to change, especially among older faculty, can slow down pedagogical innovation (LEAD Group, 2025).

4. Local Insights from Surat

Surat offers a unique lens into mid-tier urban education systems navigating both opportunity and constraint. The Vidyalaya School Software case study (2023) documented successful implementation of school management systems in private institutions, highlighting improved administrative efficiency and data-driven decision-making. Meanwhile, the Surat Municipal Corporation initiative introduced AI, robotics, and drone learning in 18 municipal schools, positioning Surat as a pioneer in civic-led edtech adoption (Free Press Journal, 2024).

The Proximate Change Toolkit (2023) compiled case studies from various states, including Surat, showing that student engagement and learning outcomes improved when digital tools were aligned with pedagogical goals. However, the toolkit stressed the importance of ongoing support, localized content, and community involvement to sustain these innovations.

These localized examples demonstrate the potential for innovation when infrastructure, leadership, and community engagement align. However, they also underscore the need for scalable models that can be adapted to diverse school contexts across India.

Research Gaps and Rationale for the Study

Despite the growing body of literature on technology integration in Indian education,

several critical gaps persist that warrant focused investigation:

Urban Bias in Existing Studies: Most empirical and policy-oriented research centers around metropolitan or well-resourced urban schools. These contexts benefit from superior infrastructure, administrative support, and access to private EdTech solutions. Consequently, the nuanced challenges faced by mid-tier urban centers like Surat—where schools straddle both opportunity and constraint—remain underexplored.

Limited Focus on Semi-Urban Government Schools: Studies such as those by Kishore & Kumar (2024) and Journalism University (2023) highlight infrastructural disparities but often generalize findings across broad regions. There is a lack of granular, school-level data from semi-urban government institutions, which are critical to understanding the scalability of digital initiatives.

Insufficient Integration of Pedagogical and Technological Dimensions: While frameworks like TPACK and SAMR are discussed (Arora & Chander, 2023), few studies examine how these models are practically implemented in multilingual, resource-constrained classrooms. The disconnect between theoretical models and classroom realities limits the applicability of existing recommendations.

- **Neglect of Localized Content and Cultural Responsiveness**: Chandan & Parul (2022) emphasize the importance of culturally responsive digital content, yet there is minimal research on how regional language barriers and curricular misalignment affect student engagement and learning outcomes in Gujarat's secondary schools.
- **Lack of Scalable, Low-Cost Implementation Models**: Although initiatives like DIKSHA and PM eVidya have expanded digital access, studies such as Verma et al. (2025) and Malaviya (2023) reveal persistent challenges in device availability, teacher training, and connectivity. There is a need for context-sensitive, cost-effective strategies that can be replicated across diverse school settings.
- **Underrepresentation of Civic-Led Innovations**: The Surat Municipal Corporation's pilot programs involving AI, robotics, and drone learning (Free Press Journal, 2024) offer promising insights, yet such civic-led initiatives are rarely documented in academic

literature. Their potential for public-sector innovation and community engagement remains largely untapped.

Rationale for the Study

Technology integration in Indian classrooms has gained significant momentum in recent years, catalyzed by national initiatives such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and digital platforms like DIKSHA. These reforms envision a learner-centric, digitally empowered education system that fosters personalized learning, critical thinking, and inclusive access. However, the translation of these policy aspirations into classroom realities remains uneven, particularly in semi-urban contexts like Surat, Gujarat.

1. Bridging the Policy–Practice Divide

While NEP 2020 and allied programs advocate for digital transformation, their implementation across diverse school ecosystems is marked by disparities in infrastructure, teacher readiness, and pedagogical alignment. Existing studies tend to focus on metropolitan or elite private institutions, leaving a gap in understanding how mid-tier urban centers—such as Surat—navigate technology adoption. This study addresses that gap by examining how national policy frameworks manifest in the everyday practices of secondary schools in Surat.

2. Contextualizing Technology Integration

Surat presents a unique educational landscape: a blend of government and private institutions with varying degrees of digital access, pedagogical innovation, and administrative support. Investigating technology integration in this setting allows for a nuanced understanding of how tools like smart boards, blended learning platforms, gamified content, and emerging technologies (VR/AR, AI) are adapted to local needs. The study's focus on secondary schools ensures relevance to a critical stage of student development and curricular delivery.

3. Responding to Infrastructure and Equity Challenges

Despite the promise of digital education, infrastructural limitations—such as unreliable internet connectivity, inadequate hardware, and inconsistent maintenance—continue to hinder effective implementation. Moreover, socio-economic disparities among students and schools exacerbate digital divides. By identifying these barriers through empirical data, the study contributes to the design of low-cost, scalable solutions

that promote equitable access and meaningful learning experiences.

4. Enhancing Teacher Capacity and Pedagogical Innovation

Teachers are central to the success of technology integration, yet many lack the training, confidence, or pedagogical frameworks to use digital tools effectively. The study evaluates teacher preparedness and instructional practices, highlighting areas where professional development can be strengthened. It also examines the applicability of models like TPACK and blended learning in the Indian context, offering insights into how theory can inform practice.

5. Informing Scalable and Sustainable Interventions

The study's mixed-methods approach—combining quantitative surveys with qualitative classroom observations—generates rich, actionable insights. These findings are used to develop context-sensitive recommendations that align with the realities of Surat's school ecosystem. By emphasizing public–private collaboration, localized content development, and adaptive teacher training, the study aims to inform scalable interventions that can be replicated across similar urban centres.

6. Contributing to Academic and Policy Discourse

This research adds to the growing body of literature on educational technology in India by foregrounding underrepresented contexts and voices. It challenges dominant narratives that equate digital success with infrastructure alone, advocating instead for a holistic approach that integrates pedagogy, equity, and community engagement. The findings have implications for policymakers, educators, and researchers seeking to advance inclusive and effective technology integration.

Methodology

1. Research Design

This study employs a **mixed-methods research design**, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to gain a holistic understanding of technology integration in secondary classrooms. The rationale for this design lies in its ability to triangulate data, validate findings, and capture both measurable outcomes and contextual nuances.

- **Quantitative Component**: Structured surveys administered to teachers and students to assess the prevalence, usage, and perceived impact of digital tools.

- **Qualitative Component**: Classroom observations and semi-structured interviews to explore pedagogical practices, teacher preparedness, and infrastructural realities.

This design aligns with Creswell's (2014) recommendation for mixed-methods studies in educational research, particularly when investigating complex, multi-layered phenomena such as technology adoption.

2. Sampling Strategy

2.1. Site Selection

The study was conducted in **five secondary schools** in Surat, Gujarat, selected through **purposive sampling** to ensure diversity in school type (government and private), infrastructure levels, and digital readiness.

2.2. Participant Selection

- **Teachers**: 25 educators across subjects and grades, selected based on their involvement in technology-enabled instruction.
- **Students**: 100 students from grades 9 to 12, representing varied socio-economic backgrounds and digital exposure.
- **School Administrators**: 5 principals or ICT coordinators, interviewed to understand institutional strategies and challenges.

3. Data Collection Methods

3.1. Surveys

Two structured questionnaires were developed—one for teachers and one for students. These included both **closed-ended items** (Likert scale, multiple choice) and **open-ended prompts** to capture perceptions, usage patterns, and challenges.

- **Teacher Survey Domains**: Digital tool usage, pedagogical integration, training received, perceived effectiveness.
- **Student Survey Domains**: Engagement levels, accessibility, preferred digital formats, learning outcomes.

3.2. Classroom Observations

Using a standardized observation protocol adapted from the **Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)** and TPACK indicators, 10 lessons were observed across the five schools. Focus areas included:

- Integration of digital tools into instruction
- Student interaction and engagement
- Teacher facilitation and scaffolding
- Technical and infrastructural constraints

3.3. Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with school administrators and selected teachers to explore:

- Institutional policies and support mechanisms

- Perceived barriers to technology adoption
- Suggestions for scalable interventions

Each interview lasted approximately 30–45 minutes and was audio-recorded with consent.

4. Data Analysis Procedures

4.1. Quantitative Analysis

Survey data were analyzed using **descriptive statistics** (frequencies, percentages, means) and **inferential techniques** such as **ANOVA** to examine differences across school types and participant groups.

- Software Used: SPSS v27
- Reliability Check: Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency of survey scales

4.2. Qualitative Analysis

Observation notes and interview transcripts were coded using **thematic analysis**, following Braun & Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework:

1. Familiarization with data
2. Initial coding
3. Theme identification
4. Theme review
5. Defining and naming themes
6. Report generation

Coding was guided by the TPACK framework and emergent categories such as infrastructure, engagement, and pedagogical innovation.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

1. Quantitative Findings

1.1 Teacher Survey Results

A total of 25 teachers responded to the survey. The following table summarizes the frequency of digital tool usage across instructional activities:

Instructional Activity	Daily Use (%)	Weekly Use (%)	Rarely/Never (%)
Multimedia Presentations	68%	24%	8%
Learning Management Systems	32%	40%	28%
Online Assessments	20%	48%	32%
Educational Apps	44%	36%	20%

Multimedia presentations were the most frequently used tool, suggesting a preference for visual aids in instruction. However, LMS platforms and online assessments showed lower adoption, indicating potential gaps in digital pedagogy or infrastructure.

1.2 Student Survey Results

Among 100 student respondents, engagement levels with digital tools were measured using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Very Low, 5 = Very High). The mean scores are presented below:

Engagement Dimension	Mean Score
Interest in digital lessons	4.2
Ease of access	3.6
Perceived learning benefit	4.0
Technical difficulties	2.8

Students reported high interest and perceived learning benefits, but moderate access and frequent technical issues. This suggests that while digital tools are engaging, infrastructural limitations may hinder consistent usage.

1.3 Inferential Analysis

A one-way ANOVA was conducted to compare student engagement across school types (government vs. private). Results indicated a statistically significant difference:

- **$F(1, 98) = 5.67, p < .05$**

Students in private schools reported significantly higher engagement, likely due to better infrastructure and more consistent exposure to digital tools.

2. Qualitative Findings

2.1 Classroom Observations: Ten classroom sessions were observed. Thematic coding revealed the following patterns:

Theme 1: Pedagogical Innovation Teachers in private schools integrated simulations and interactive quizzes, enhancing student participation.

Theme 2: Infrastructure Constraints Government schools faced frequent power outages and limited device availability, disrupting lesson flow.

Theme 3: Teacher Readiness While some teachers demonstrated strong TPACK alignment, others relied on basic PowerPoint slides without interactive elements.

2.2 Interviews with Administrators: Key insights from administrator interviews included

Digital Strategy Gaps: Most schools lacked a formal ICT integration plan.

Professional Development Needs: Principals emphasized the need for ongoing teacher training in digital pedagogy.

Equity Concerns: Administrators expressed concern over unequal access to devices

among students, especially in government schools.

3. Integrated Interpretation: The triangulated findings reveal a nuanced picture of technology integration in Surat's secondary schools:

Convergence: Both quantitative and qualitative data highlight high student interest but uneven access and usage.

Divergence: While surveys suggest moderate teacher usage of LMS platforms, observations reveal minimal pedagogical integration.

Framework Alignment: The TPACK model is partially evident—teachers possess technological knowledge but often lack pedagogical strategies to integrate tools meaningfully.

Discussion and Implications

The pilot study revealed a complex landscape of technology integration in Surat's secondary schools. While both teachers and students expressed positive attitudes toward digital tools, actual implementation varied significantly across school types and instructional contexts.

Pedagogical Innovation was more evident in private schools, where infrastructure supported interactive and student-centered digital practices.

Infrastructure Constraints in government schools—such as unreliable electricity and limited device access—hindered consistent technology use.

Teacher Readiness emerged as a critical factor. Despite basic technological familiarity, many teachers lacked the pedagogical strategies to integrate tools effectively, reflecting partial alignment with the TPACK framework.

These findings echo prior research by Mishra & Koehler (2006), who emphasized the need for balanced development across technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge domains. Similarly, studies by Gulati (2008) and Sharma & Srivastava (2020) have highlighted infrastructural inequities and professional development gaps in Indian schools.

Implications for Practice

Strategic ICT Planning: Schools should develop formal digital integration plans aligned with national frameworks such as the *National Education Policy 2020* and *Digital India* initiatives.

Infrastructure Investment: Government schools require targeted funding for reliable internet, devices, and backup power solutions to ensure equitable access.

TPACK-Based Training: Professional development programs should go beyond tool usage and focus on pedagogical integration, lesson design, and student engagement strategies.

Peer Collaboration: Establishing teacher learning communities can foster sharing of best practices and contextual innovations.

Digital Literacy Support: Schools should offer workshops for students and parents to build digital confidence and responsible usage habits.

Device Access Programs: Partnerships with NGOs or CSR initiatives could help bridge the digital divide by providing devices to underserved students.

3. Implications for Future Research

This pilot study lays the groundwork for broader investigations into technology integration across diverse Indian contexts. Future research could:

Expand the sample to include rural and tribal schools

Explore longitudinal impacts of digital pedagogy on learning outcomes

Examine the role of language, culture, and socio-economic factors in shaping digital engagement

Conclusion and Recommendations

This pilot study explored the integration of educational technology in secondary schools in Surat, Gujarat, using a mixed-methods approach grounded in the TPACK framework. The findings reveal a promising yet uneven landscape:

Teachers and students exhibit positive attitudes toward digital tools.

Infrastructure and pedagogical integration remain inconsistent, especially across school types.

While private schools demonstrate innovative practices, government schools face systemic barriers that limit effective technology use.

The study underscores the importance of holistic strategies that address not only access to technology but also the pedagogical capacity to use it meaningfully. In alignment with the *National Education Policy 2020*, technology must be positioned not as a supplement but as a transformative force in Indian classrooms.

Recommendations

Develop ICT Integration Plans: Schools should create context-specific digital strategies with clear goals, timelines, and evaluation metrics.

Invest in Infrastructure: Ensure reliable internet, adequate devices, and technical support, especially in government schools.

TPACK-Aligned Training: Teacher development programs must integrate technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge, emphasizing real-world classroom applications.

Encourage Blended Learning Models: Promote flipped classrooms, digital assessments, and interactive content to enhance student engagement.

Equity-Focused Funding: Allocate resources to bridge digital divides across regions and socio-economic groups.

Monitor and Evaluate: Establish mechanisms to assess the impact of technology integration on teaching quality and student outcomes.

Support Action Research: Encourage teachers to document and reflect on their digital practices to foster continuous improvement.

Scale Successful Models: Identify and replicate effective integration strategies across schools and districts.

This study contributes to the growing discourse on educational technology in India by offering grounded insights and practical pathways for reform. As digital transformation accelerates, it is imperative that schools, educators, and policymakers work collaboratively to ensure that technology serves as a catalyst for inclusive, engaging, and high-quality education.

References

Arora, C., & Chander, S. (2023). *Integrating technology into classroom learning*. *Indian Journal of Educational Technology*, NCERT. https://journals.ncert.gov.in/IJET/article/view/341

Chandan, P., & Parul. (2022). Integration of ICT-based education in India: A review of challenges. *International Journal of Legal Research and Humanities Social Science*, 6(10), 234–240. http://www.ijlrhss.com/paper/volume-6-issue-10/32-HSS-2345.pdf

Digital India. (2025). *Digital India programme overview*. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India. https://www.digitalindia.gov.in

Free Press Journal. (2024, February 5). Surat Municipal Corporation becomes first civic body in country to introduce AI, robotics & drone learning in municipal schools.

https://www.freepressjournal.in/education/surat-municipal-corporation-becomes-first-civic-body-in-country-to-introduce-ai-robotics-drone-learning-in-municipal-schools

India Today. (2025). *How edtech is transforming classrooms across India*. https://www.indiatoday.in

Journalism University. (2023). Integrating ICT in Indian education system: Challenges. *Journal of Educational Development*, 12(1), 22–38. https://journalism.university/information-and-communication-technologies/integrating-ict-indian-education-system-challenges/

Karmakar, D. (2022). Public–private partnerships in education sector in India. *Inspira Journal of Modern Management & Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), 45–52. https://www.inspirajournals.com/uploads/Issues/865453108.pdf

Kishore, P., & Kumar, A. (2024). Integration and use of technology in education: Insights from NEP 2020. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 12(8), 164–172. https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2408164.pdf

LEAD Group. (2025). *Bridging the digital divide in Indian schools: Challenges and solutions*. https://www.leadschool.in

Malaviya, A. (2023). Teacher readiness for digital pedagogy in Indian secondary schools. *International Journal of Educational Technology*, 15(2), 45–59.

Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/nep2020

PM eVidya. (2025). *PM eVidya: One nation, one digital platform*. Ministry of Education, Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/pmevidya

Proximate Change Toolkit. (2023). Case studies: Successful integration of EdTech in public schools. https://toolkit.proximatechange.com/edtech-roadmap/viii-government-partnership/26-case-studies-successful-integration-of-edtech-in-public-schools/

Vidyalaya School Software. (2023). Case study: Shree Satya Sai Education Trust, Surat. https://www.vidyalayaschoolsoftware.com/resources/case-studies/shree-satya-sai-education-trust-surat.